



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/505,388	02/28/2005	Bernd Kreuzer	166-75	7212

7590 08/01/2007
Rocco S Barrese
Dilworth & Barrese
333 Earle Ovington Boulevard
Uniondale, NY 11553

EXAMINER

NICHOLSON III, LESLIE AUGUST

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3651

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

08/01/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/505,388	Applicant(s) KREUZER ET AL.	
	Examiner Leslie A. Nicholson III	Art Unit 3651	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Leslie A. Nicholson III. (3) _____

(2) George M. Kaplan. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 June 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 12, 24 and 28.


Identification of prior art discussed: Ehrenleitner DE 20105676 (USP 6676755), Goebel WO 02/04279 A1, Koga USP 5088176, Kreuzer USP 6419983.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


GENE O. CRAWFORD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant presented proposed amended claims 1,12,34,38. Applicant amended claims 12 and 34 to place them in condition for allowance. Regarding claim 38, Applicant discussed that Kreuzer does not show the second moduled pivoting about an axis extending substantially parallel to the direction of movement of the workpieces and about an axis extending substantially perpendicular to the direction of movement of the workpiece along the handling line. The Examiner agreed. Regarding claim 1, the Examiner recommended only claiming the embodiment of figure 5 which was elected without traverse in the restriction requirement filed 4/27/2006. Agreement was not reached with respect to claim 1 .